

LIMPOPO

PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT

REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

LIMPOPO PROVINCIAL ADMINISTRATION

POLICY ON PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM

Effective Date: 1st April 2018

| TABL | E OF CONTENTS | PAGES |
|-------|--|---------|
| 1. IN | TRODUCTION | 5 |
| 2. Pl | JRPOSE | 5 |
| 3. Al | JTHORISATION AND SCOPE OF APPLICATION | 5-6 |
| 4. 0 | BJECTIVES AND PRINCIPLES | 7 |
| 4.1 | Objectives | 7 |
| 4.2 | Principles | 7 |
| 5. Th | HE PERFORMANCE CYCLE | 8 |
| 6. PE | RFORMANCE AGREEMENT | 8 |
| 6.1 | General principles | 8-9 |
| 6.2 | The Work Plan | 9 |
| 6.3 | Core Management Criteria (CMCs) and Generic Assessment Factors (GAFs) | 10-11 |
| 6.4 | Personal Development Plan (PDP) | 11 |
| 6.5. | Compulsory Capturing of Employee performance Management Information | 11 |
| 7. Pr | olonged Absence and Staff movement | 11 |
| 7.1 | Prolonged Absence during the Performance cycle | 11 |
| 7.2 | Absence during the cycle | 12 |
| 7.3 | Acting in higher positions | 12 |
| 8. PE | RFORMANCE MONITORING, REVIEW AND ASSESSMENT | 12 |
| 8.1 | Performance monitoring | 13 - 14 |
| 8.2 | Categories of performance and rating scale | 13-14 |
| 8.3 | Performance review and assessment | 14-17 |
| 8.4 | Elements of Performance review and assessment | 17 |
| 8.5 | Non Compliance with deadlines set for Performance Agreements and Assessments | 17 -19 |

| 9. | PERFORMANCE MODERATION | |
|--------|--|--|
| 9.1 | General principles19-20 | |
| 9.2 | Intermediate Review Committee20 | |
| 9.3 | The Branch and Departmental Moderating Committees20-21 | |
| 9.4 | The Departmental Moderating Committees | |
| 9.5 | Disagreements over rating and assessment22-23 | |
| 10. | OUTCOMES OF PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT | |
| 10.1 | Probation23-24 | |
| 10.2 | Managing performance that is not fully effective24-25 | |
| 10.3 | Rewarding of Individual performance | |
| 10.3. | Pay progression | |
| 10.3.2 | Performance bonus | |
| 10.3.3 | Non-financial incentives | |
| 10.4 | Suggestions, improvements and innovations | |
| 11. A | PPROVAL AND REVISION28 | |
| GLOS: | SARY OF TERMS29-34 | |

1. Introduction

The Performance Management and Development System (PMDS) policy provides a Standardised framework for employees performance. The policy determines the responsibilities for specific aspects of the PMDS. With the Strategic Plans and Annual Performance Plans (APP) as well as Operational Plans as the basis, the Provincial Departments are able to identify priorities and specific objectives to be achieved by branches, components and units.

Performance agreements for employees enable the Limpopo Provincial Administration to assign specific performance objectives and targets to its employees. This also enables employees in the Provincial Administration to participate meaningfully in the management of their own performance. The successful implementation of performance management and development is to a greater degree dependent on all employees accepting responsibility for their performance, in co-operation with their supervisors and managers.

2. Purpose

The PMDS of the Limpopo Provincial Administration is aimed at planning, managing and improving employees performance to ensure optimal utilisation of human resources as a critical element in achieving the outcomes and objectives of the Limpopo Provincial Administration.

Government has adopted the outcomes approach, based on the principles of results based management. These principles are reflected in the Strategic Plans and APPs of the Provincial Departments. The PMDS establishes the link between the performance of employees and the outputs and outcomes to be achieved by the Departments as described in the Strategic Plans and APPs.

3. Authorisation and Scope of application

3.1. Authorisation

This policy is compliant with the following regulatory frameworks:

- i. The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 as amended
- ii. The Public Service Act, 1994, as amended (Proclamation 103 of 1994)
- iii. The Public Service Regulations, 2016
- iv. The Skills Development Act (Act 97 of 1998) as amended
- v. The Labour Relations Act (Act 66 of 1995) as amended
- vi. Promotion of Equality and Prevention of Unfair Discrimination Act, (Act 4 of 2000)

- vii. Employment Equity Act (Act 55 of 1998) as amended
- viii. Public Finance Management Act, 1999 (Act 1 of 1999)
- ix. Applicable Directives and relevant PSCBC Resolutions

3.2. Scope of application

This policy applies to all employees on levels 1-12, other than SMS members, in the Provincial Administration, appointed in terms of the Public Service Act, 1994, as amended.

4. Objectives and Principles

4.1 Objectives

In order to promote individual performance, achievement and development, the objectives for performance management and development are to:

- a) provide a clear link between the performance of employees and the performance of the Provincial Departments against their Strategic Objectives, APPs and Operational Plans,
- b) Establish a performance and learning culture in the Provincial Administration,
- c) Serve as a management instrument for implementing goals and strategies,
- d) Improve service delivery,
- e) Ensure that all employees know and understand what is expected of them.
- f) Promote interaction on performance between employees and supervisors,
- g) Identify, manage and promote employees' developmental needs,
- h) Evaluate performance fairly and objectively,
- i) Recognize categories of performance that are fully effective and better, and
- j) Manage categories of performance that are not fully effective and inadequate

4.2 Principles

The key principles underpinning effective performance management and development are:

- a) The departments shall manage performance in a consultative, supportive and non-discriminatory manner to enhance organisational efficiency and effectiveness, accountability for the use of resources and the achievement of results.
- b) Performance management processes shall link to broad and consistent staff development plans and align with the department's strategic goals.
- c) Performance management processes shall be developmental, and shall also allow for effective response to consistent inadequate performance and for recognising outstanding and fully effective performance, and for an effective response to consistent inadequate and not fully effective performance.
- d) Performance management procedures should minimise the administrative burden on supervisors while maintaining transparency and administrative justice.

5. The Performance Cycle.

The performance cycle is the period in respect of which performance is to be assessed. The performance cycle is a 12-month period commencing 1 April to 31 March of the following year. It is aligned to the period of the Departments Annual Performance Plans and the budget. The 12-month cycle is also linked to the financial year for the purpose of planning, pay progression and other performance related incentives such as performance awards. The probation cycle, however, will be linked to the appointment date of the employee.

The performance cycle is divided into the following phases for the effectiveness of operations:

- a) Finalisation of the Department's Annual Performance Plan, based on the Department's Strategic Plan and Budget
- b) Individual performance planning and agreement
- c) Performance monitoring, review and assessment
- d) Performance moderation, and
- e) Outcomes of Performance Assessment

6. Performance Agreement

6.1 General principles

- The Performance Agreement (PA) is the basis of performance management and development at an individual level. All employees must enter into and sign performance agreements on or before **30 April** of the new cycle or **within two months** if newly employed.
- 6.1.2. The performance agreement of the employee will be informed by the Department's Strategic Plans and Annual Performance Plans. It must also be aligned to the component's operational plan and the employee's job description.
- 6.1.3. The relevant supervisor shall ensure that the signed PAs or agreements of similar nature are submitted to their internal Human Resource Management components on or before the 30th of April (the due date for signing of PAs or agreements of similar nature).
- 6.1.4. An employee who is appointed, seconded or transferred to another post or position at the same salary level must enter into a new PA or agreement of a similar nature for the new post or position within **two calendar months** of his/her appointment/secondment/transfer. For seconded employees, a copy of the signed PA or agreement of similar nature must be submitted by the seconding department to the receiving department within 30 working days.
- 6.1.5. An employee who does not comply with the requirements of the Regulations, shall not qualify for any performance rewards, i.e. pay progression and performance bonus (Regulation 72(7) of the PSR 2016).

- 6.1.6. An employees shall not amend a PA or an agreement of a similar nature in the last quarter of a performance cycle (i.e. 1 January to 31 March), unless changes to the employee job description, job grade, organisational structure of the department or its functions or amendments to the objectives and priorities result in significant changes to the content of the job of the employee. In the case where the amendment of the PA or an agreement of a similar nature is justified, the amended PA or agreement of a similar nature must be accompanied by a written motivation explaining the reasons for the changes. This motivation must be signed by supervisor and the head of the component and submitted to HRM unit to inform and clarify matters of performance during annual assessments and moderation.
- 6.1.7 Supervisors who perform supervisory duties are authorized to enter into a performance agreement with another employee on behalf of the Provincial Administration.
- 6.18. The PA, especially the workplan, may be re-negotiated if the employee has not been in the job role for three months or more for any reason, as for example maternity leave, ill health, study, secondment, or travel; unless this absence was built into the original agreement.
- The criteria upon which the performance of an employee is assessed consist of Key Results
 Areas (KRAs) and Generic Assessment Factors (GAFs) or Core Management Criteria (CMCs)
 which are contained in the PA.

6.2 The Work Plan

- 6.2.1 KRAs describe what is expected from an employee in his/her role and focus attention on actions and activities that will assist units and ultimately the departments in performing effectively against their APPs and the Operational Plans.
- 6.2.2 In the work plan the KRAs should be broken down into outputs and activities with the related performance measures/targets/indicators and resource requirements. These are used to indicate how the performance or achievement of the outputs and activities will be measured. KRAs can cover many different aspects of the work such as:
 - a) Specific tasks or events which the employee should ensure are achieved;
 - b) Levels of performance which the employee should maintain and promote;
 - c) Actions or situations for which the employee is personally responsible for delivering His/her "unique contribution"; and
 - d) Duties and responsibilities related to advice and support given, for example, by Specialists to clients.
- 6.2.3 The number of KRAs to be included in a PA should **not exceed six (6)**. Each KRA should be broken down into measurable outputs and/or duties/responsibilities and activities. Each

KRA should be weighted in percentage (%) according to the importance it has in the employee's job. The weighting of all the KRAs should add up to 100%.

When assigning a weight to a KRA, there must be some balance between the KRAs (maximum of 30% and minimum of 10%).

6.2.4 Management should decide on compulsory / generic KRA's for certain categories of employees.

6.3 Generic Assessment Factors (GAFs) and Core Management Criteria (CMCs)

- 6.3.1 Generic Assessment Factors (GAFs), describe the competency requirements taking into consideration the knowledge, skills and attributes relevant to the employee's work. Employees shall identify, discuss and agree on the GAFs that are most relevant to their area of work. The GAFs shall not be weighted. GAFs shall not be assessed independently, but must be incorporated and assessed in an integrated manner with the KRAs. Any employee development identified in the GAFs shall be used to inform areas of development to be included in the Personal Development Plan (PDP) of employees. A list of the GAFs is attached as Annexure A.
- 6.3.2 The supervisor and employee must agree on at least five (5) **but not exceeding ten (10)**GAFs that are deemed to be most important for effective performance in that particular job.
 The service delivery (Batho Pele) imperative and principles are expected to be applied in assessing GAFs. Certain GAFs may be compulsory (as indicated on the PA template) for certain categories of employees.
- 6.3.3. Employees should be assessed against the selected GAFs applicable to their jobs. A professional may for example have no employees under his/her control or may have no financial responsibilities. To adapt the GAFs to specific jobs and job contexts, the employee and supervisor will need to:
 - a) Decide which of the GAFs apply to the employee's job
 - b) One way of jointly arriving at decisions on how important any specific GAF is to a specific job is to use the factors of impact and frequency. The greater the impact and frequency, the greater the importance that criterion is likely to have on the achievement of effective performance results.

6.4 Personal Development Plan (PDP)

- The PA must include a Personal Development Plan. The purpose of this is to identify any performance output shortfall or areas in which the employee wishes to enhance his/her skills and knowledge. The competence gap or area of skills enhancement may relate to any of the GAFs included in this PMDS or any other area of the employee's knowledge, skills and attribute requirements.
- 6.4.2 The PDP should include interventions relating to the technical or occupational "Hard skills" of the job, through e.g. appropriate training interventions, on-the-job training, expanded job exposure, and job rotation. The employee and the supervisor are required to take joint responsibility for the achievement of the PDP with allocated responsibilities clearly recorded on the PDP agreement document. The PDP of an employee should relate to the core duties and responsibilities of the job and broadly also with the skills requirements of the Department. The PDPs of employees will inform the Workplace Skills Plan.

6.5. Compulsory Capturing of Employee Performance Management Information

All HODs/ Accounting Officers must ensure that employee performance information is captured on PERSAL or a system determined by the MPSA (Regulation 71(7) of PSR 2016).

- a) Performance Agreements should have been captured by 31 May of each year
- b) Annual Assessments should have been captured by 30 June of each year
- c) Mid-term reviews should have been captured on PERSAL by 30 November of each year

7. Prolonged Absence and Staff movement

7.1. PROLONGED ABSENCE DURING THE PERFORMANCE CYCLE

- 7.1.1. When an employee is absent with permission or on precautionary suspension for a continuous period of three (3) months or longer, the affected employee shall be regarded as having performed fully effective, for that period of absence within that applicable performance cycle (Regulation 72 (12)).
- 7.1.2. Periods of prolonged absence with permission for purposes of paragraph 7.1.1. above include all types of approved leave, including study leave with full pay, provided performance instruments have been submitted.
- 7.1.3. If an employee is suspended as a sanction for a continuous period of three months or longer, he/she will not qualify for PMDS incentives.

7.2 Absence during the cycle

- 7.2.1 Normal periods of leave for example vacation leave and/or short periods of sick leave do not usually interfere unduly with the employee's performance management cycle.
- 7.2.2 The principle is that consistent performance that fully meets the standards through the cycle translates to a 3-rating ("fully effective performance").

7.3 Acting in higher positions

An employee acting in a higher position shall be assessed at the level of his or her post that he or she occupied at the time immediately prior to the acting position and the awarding of performance incentives if he/she qualifies shall be calculated on the lower level.

When acting in a higher position for **longer than six weeks**, where an acting allowance is being paid, a work-plan must be compiled for the higher position that the employee would be expected to perform against. The performance of the employee, acting in the higher position, will be assessed in terms of the amended workplan, against the standards applicable to the level of the employee's permanent position. Performance incentives must be calculated at the salary level of the post to which the employee is permanently appointed, based on the employee's salary notch on 31 March of the cycle.

8. Performance, Review and Assessments

8.1 Performance monitoring

The performance of employees must be monitored by supervisors on a continuous basis, with oral feedback on an employee's performance regularly.

Performance at individual level must be continuously monitored to enable the identification of performance barriers and changes and to address development and improvement needs as they arise, as well as to:

- a) Determine progress and/or identify obstacles in achieving objectives and targets,
- b) Enable supervisors and employees to deal with performance-related problems,
- c) Identify and provide the support needed,
- d) Modify objectives and targets, and
- e) Ensure continuous learning and development.

8.2 ¢ategories of performance and rating scales

The conducting of mid-year performance reviews and annual performance assessments are compulsory, and must be in writing. The annual assessment shall reflect the performance of the employee for the entire performance cycle (April to March). The annual assessment must be conducted even if the employee was employed for less than 12 months in that cycle.

A four (4) point rating scale shall be used to assess the performance of employees. A rating of a "3" on the scale entails "fully effective". In terms of this approach to performance rating, an employee who is rated "fully effective" has fully complied with the requirements of the job. On the rating scale, this translates to an overall score of 100%. Only whole numbers must be used in the scoring [No decimals numbers are allowed (e.g. 3.5)].

Table 1: Four (4) Point Rating Scale

| RATING | CATEGORY AND SCORE | DESCRIPTION | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 1 Not effective (Less than or equal to 66%) | | Performance does not meet the expected standard for the job. The review / assessment indicates that the jobholder has achieved less than fully effective results against all or almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Workplan. | | |
| 2 | Partially Effective (67%-99%) | Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review/assessment indicates that the jobholder has achieved less than fully effective results (partially achieved) against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Workplan. | | |
| 3 | Fully Effective (100% - 119%) | Performance fully meets the standard expected in all areas of the job. The review / assessment indicates that the jobholder has achieved as a minimum effective results against all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the Performance Agreement and Workplan. | | |
| 4 | Highly Effective (120% - 133%) | Performance far exceeds the standard expected of jobholder at this level. The review/assessment indicat that the jobholder has achieved better than fully effecti results against more than half/or in all areas of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Workplan and maintained this in all areas responsibility throughout the performance cycle. | | |

The performance assessment calculator shall be used to calculate the overall performance score(s) of the mid-year reviews and the annual performance assessment. The electronic Excel Performance Assessment Calculator for non-SMS employees is available on the DPSA website (www.dpsa.gov.za).

8.3 Performance review and assessment

8.3.1 Performance **review** meetings are an integral part of the monitoring process. These reviews must take place as often as is practical and/or required by circumstances. The reviews are necessary to motivate and to reveal to the employee areas that need improvement and if required, to modify the work plan.

The employee's supervisor shall monitor the employee's performance on a continuous basis and give the employee feedback on her or his performance.

8.3.2 The performance of an employee shall be reviewed on a continuous basis with oral feedback on his or her performance at least quarterly.

Two informal reviews (April to June and October to December) and two formal reviews (April to September (Mid-Term review) and April to March (Annual Performance Assessment) shall be submitted.

The annual assessment shall reflect the performance of the employee for the entire period of the cycle.

- 8.3.3 A formal written performance review shall be conducted half way through the Performance cycle during October each year. This requirement is waived if the employee has been in the service of the Department for less than three months, however the probation reports will still be required. The supervisor and employee shall sign the performance review form.
- 8.3.4 Should the supervisor, as a result of this review, or at any time during the performance cycle, be of the opinion that the employee's performance is markedly below what is required, the supervisor must complete a formal written performance review. This ensures that the employee is left in no doubt that what she/he has been producing as work outputs is not acceptable and that continuation in this poor standard of performance will affect service delivery and is sure to result in a low performance assessment at the end of the cycle, with its resultant consequences.

8.3.5 A formal written annual performance assessment shall be conducted at the end of the performance cycle before **31 May each year**. The **annual assessment** of an employee shall be done between the employee and the immediate supervisor.

For salary levels 1-12, where the supervisor is not a SMS member, the next in line supervisor at SMS level should ensure consistency and fairness by signing the Performance Assessment form. The requirement for the completion of a formal annual assessment is waived if the employee has been in the service of the Department for less than three months. The probation reports will still be required.

The performance cycle is a 12-month period commencing from 1 April to 31 March of the following year. Annual assessment date in all the departments shall be between 1 April and 30 June each year. Performance incentives must be implemented before 31 August of each year.

| Annual Dates | Current Cycle Activities | Previous Cycle Activities to be completed | Responsibility |
|----------------------|---|---|---|
| 30 April | Signed Performance Agreement is filed/submitted to HR | | Employee, supervisor and Head of HR |
| 31 May | Finalisation of capturing of signed performance agreements on PERSAL | Finalisation of capturing of employee performance information on PERSAL | Head of HR and HR information system |
| 31 May | Submission of Annual Assessments | | Employee, supervisor and Head of HR |
| 30 June – 31 July | Finalisation of Annual Assessment Outcomes including moderation and approval | Moderation and Approval | Head of HR, and EA/Accounting Officer |
| 31 August | Payment of Incentives | Capturing on PERSAL | Head of HR |
| 31 October | Finalisation of Mid-term reviews and Submission | Submission of Mid-year reviews | Employee and supervisor |
| 30 November | Capturing of Mid-term reviews on PERSAL | Capturing on PERSAL | Head of HR |

- 8.3.6 The overall performance assessment is to be used as the basis of deciding on career incidents for the employee. The formal performance assessment process can also be utilized to discuss the PA for the coming performance cycle.
- 8.3.7 Performance reviews and assessments should be aligned to the quarterly reporting of the unit against the Departments Annual Performance Plans and Operational Plans. *Discrepancies between the individual employee's performance and the unit's performance should be explained in writing*. For example, where the unit has achieved or exceeded all its targets and the individual employee receives a low rating, or vice versa.

8.3.8 The supervisor should prepare feedback sessions by:

- a) Reviewing the previous period and objectives and targets for the next period
- b) Reviewing support needed and drafting training and development needs
- c) Seeking appropriate feedback from relevant role-players to support the process
- d) Reviewing and updating all relevant documentation, and
- e) Identifying internal/external factors affecting the jobholder's performance

8.3.9 The employee should prepare feedback session by:

- a) Reviewing previous objectives and identifying possible new objectives
- b) Collecting supporting facts on performance delivered
- c) Identifying factors that affected his/her performance
- d) Identifying support that will be needed as well as possible development needs, and
- e) Reflecting on the feedback to be given to the supervisor
- 8.3.10 At all levels the periodic reviews must also include a discussion on the employee's development plan requirements. The discussion should provide for an opportunity:
 - a) For the employee to assess his/her own performance and its contribution to organizational goals and to identify areas of improvement.
 - b) For the supervisor to provide formal feedback on performance over the year and to identify ways of improving what was achieved.
 - c) For employees to contribute to, and respond to comments regarding their performance and identify issues beyond their control that limit the achievement of results.
 - d) For open discussion between the employee and his/her supervisor in which achievements can be fully recognised and ideas for problem solving agreed.

- e) For reaching agreement on an overall assessment score reflecting judgement on the level of achievement attained in terms of the performance agreement, and
- f) For the supervisor and the employee to agree on areas of personal development

8.4. Elements upon which Performance reviews and assessments will be based:

8.4.1. Self-assessment, where the employee whose performance is being assessed:

- a) Assess his/her own progress according to his/her performance agreement and work plan, during the period under review and allocate performance ratings accordingly
- b) Bring to his/her manager's attention, significant other outputs that were delivered during this period which are not contained in the performance plan and/or performance which he/she regards as being meritorious.
- Provide inputs on areas of performance, which the manager has identified as not being fully effective
- d) Review his/her performance agreement for validity, and
- e) Discuss and initiate the amendments to performance agreement or workplan.

8.4.2. Supervisor's assessment, the employee's supervisor must:

- a) Facilitate the assessment session
- Assess the employee's performance according to his/her performance agreement and work plan during the period under review and allocate performance ratings
- c) Give recognition to the employee for good performance rendered during the review or evaluation period
- Recognise other significant outputs that were delivered during this period which are not contained in the performance plan and/or performance which he/she regards as being meritorious
- e) Identify performance areas which have been identified as being not fully effective
- f) Allow the employee opportunity to give his/her input during the session
- g) Identify remedial steps which will be taken to eliminate factors which have hampered the employee's performance
- h) Review or evaluate the employee's performance agreement and work plan, for validity
- i) Discuss and initiate the amendment of the employee's performance plan, if necessary
- Record his/her comment about the performance of the employee,

8.4.3. Assessment of the KRAs

- a) Each KRA must be assessed on the extent to which the specified standards have been met and outputs achieved (in line with unit's Annual Performance Plan and Operational Plan and Work plan). The achievement or non-achievement of the targets in the KRA must be captured on the Annual and mid-term review report.
- b) An indicative rating on the rating scale must be provided for each KRA.
- c) This rating must be multiplied by the weighting given to the KRA during the contracting process, to provide a score
- d) Written substantive motivation must be provided where the overall final assessment rating is more than a 3 (Mid-term and Annual Assessment).
- e) Motivation must be provided per KRA with rating of more than a 3
- f) Motivation should be compiled by employee and signed off by the supervisor
- Motivation submitted after due date of the review will not be entertained (in cases where the motivation is not captured on the review form)

8.4.4. Provisional Assessment Rating (PAR)

An overall score, in accordance with the assessment rating, is provided as a summary of the outcome of the annual performance assessment for KRAs and GAFs. An assessment rating calculator may be used to provide a score based on adding the scores achieved for the KRAs. During this face-to-face session the supervisor and employee must endeavour to reach consensus on the employee's rating as the supervisor should have the best knowledge of the employee's performance.

If there is consensus between the supervisor and employee on the rating, this becomes the Provisional Assessment Rating (PAR). For salary levels 1-12, the employee's supervisor then submits this provisional rating to the next supervisor in line (must be a member of the SMS), who shall interrogate the PAR and if she/he is of the view that the PAR is not a fair reflection of the employee's performance (too low or too high) she/he may request the supervisor to review the rating score with the employee. The next supervisor in line submits all employee PARs to the Intermediate Review Committee or Branch Moderating Committee.

If there is disagreement that cannot be resolved between themselves on the scores given, the employee and supervisor must each note their reasons in writing and attach it to the assessment form before forwarding the form to the Intermediate Review Committee or Branch Moderating Committee.

8.5. Non-compliance with deadlines set for performance agreements and performance Assessments:

- a) An employee who does not comply with the requirements of the PSR 2016, shall not qualify for any performance rewards, i.e. pay progression and performance bonus (Regulation 72(7) of the PSR 2016).
- b) Employees who do not submit their performance agreements/assessments in accordance to the policy will not be eligible for pay progression and performance bonuses for the relevant reporting period and must face disciplinary action.
- c) Late agreements and assessments will be accepted where employees submitted their agreements or assessments on time to their supervisors, or where valid reasons existed. Valid reasons exclude any planned absence such as annual leave, out of town duty and, overseas trips.
- d) Managers or supervisors who delay timeous submission of agreements and assessments of subordinates shall face disciplinary action in the absence of a valid reason for failure to submit on time.

9. PERFORMANCE MODERATION

The Executive Authority or relevant delegated authority/ies shall appoint a committee to moderate the annual performance assessments and submit the recommendations to the relevant EA for approval.

The performance moderation is conducted by a higher level of management above the supervisor to ensure, as far as possible, that the performance of all employees is evaluated fairly and consistently across the department.

9.1 General Principles

9.1.1. Performance moderation is important to:

- Ensure fairness, impartiality, objectivity and consistent application of the employee performance management and development system
- b) Ensure that performance assessments reflect the performance of the unit / Department in terms of the Annual Performance Plan and Operational Plan,
- c) Align the payment of performance **bonuses within 1.5% of the** Departmental remuneration budget, and
- d) Award pay progression in line with the 2% of the Departmental Wage Bill

9.1.2. Members of Moderation Committees must ensure that:

- a) There is compliance with the Public Service prescripts in terms of the timelines on the signing of PAs or agreements of a similar nature, performance reviews and assessments and the date for the implementation of the outcomes of annual performance assessments; and
- b) The performance outcomes of the Department/branch or unit is considered when advising or/recommending on the implementation of the outcomes of annual performance assessments.

9.2. INTERMEDIATE REVIEW COMMITTEE (OPTIONAL)

- a) Departments may establish an Intermediate Review Committee (IRC) at a Programme/Component level for reviewing the performance assessment rating agreed upon by the employee and the supervisor.
- b) The IRC receives the performance assessment ratings of all employees in the Component to review, compare and validate the ratings. If the IRC agrees with the ratings, the ratings are then submitted to the Moderating Committee.
- c) Any recommendation on the changing of the rating scores must be referred back to the employee's supervisor for the supervisor and the subordinate to try and reach consensus on the change.
- d) If the supervisor and the employee cannot agree, the unchanged/original rating is forwarded to the Moderating Committee, with the comments from the IRC, the supervisor and employee.

9.2.1 The Intermediate Review Committee comprises of the following:

- a) Chairperson,
- b) A minimum of three (3) members from within the same Component;
- c) Representative from HR to provide guidance and secretariat;
- d) Representative from Labour as an observer

9.3 The Branch Moderating Committees

- 9.3.1 The Branch Moderating Committee comprises of the following:
 - a) Chairperson,
 - b) A minimum of three (3) members from within the same branch;
 - c) Representative from HR to provide guidance and secretariat;
 - d) Representative from Labour as an observer

9.3.2 The Role of Branch Moderating Committees

The Branch Moderating Committees play a critical role in assessing/moderating the Provisional Assessment Rating of employees. It receives the Provisional Assessment Ratings from the PMDS Unit for validation. In the process the Branch Moderating Committee may agree or disagree with the PAR. In case of disagreement, the PAR must be referred back to the employee's supervisor to try and reach consensus on its view. When a need arises, supervisors will be expected to motivate further to the Branch Moderating Committee

If the supervisor cannot convince the employee of a change in the rating, the Branch Moderating Committee rating is forwarded to the Departmental Moderating Committee together with the reasons for its decisions.

9.4 The Departmental Moderating Committee (DMC)

Each EA or the relevant delegated authority/ies, must establish a Departmental Moderating Committee (DMC) for employees other than members of the SMS, which is chaired by the Head of Department (HOD) or his/her delegate. The Committee furthermore, may consist of SMS members at the discretion of the EA or relevant delegated official.

9.4.1. The Departmental Moderating Committee (Salary Level 1-12):

- a) Chairperson (Head of Corporate Services)
- b) Chairpersons of various Branches
- c) Representative from HR to provide guidance and secretariat
- d) Representative from Labour as an observer
- e) It can be chaired by DDG / CFO
- f) DDG can be appointed from another Department

9.4.2. Roles of the DMC

(a). The role of the Departmental Moderating Committee is to ensure that the annual performance assessment is done in a realistic, consistent, objective, impartial and fair manner, to monitor the performance assessment process by obtaining an overall sense of whether norms and standards are being applied consistently and realistically to employees on the same level and across the department as a whole.

- (b). The DMC should not assess each individual case for purposes of evaluating ratings, but should develop an overall view of the results of the assessment process. If the DMC identifies deviations or discrepancies, these should be dealt with in a just, fair and consistent manner.
- (c) If the DMC identifies deviations or discrepancies, these should be referred back to Branch Moderating Committee.
- (d). The DMC must keep detailed minutes and records of decisions, in particular, if it recommends either increasing or decreasing rating scores. Such decisions must be communicated to the *Branch Moderating Committee*.
- (e) Unless it is an overall assessment score adjustment that alters the assessment scores of all employees (as a group) by the same quantum, the DMC may not change an individual employee's assessment rating, without first referring the issue back to the Branch Moderating Committee.
- (f). The **Departmental** Moderating Committee shall confirm the rating, which is the final rating score for an employee.
- 9.4.3. The other additional roles of the Departmental Moderating Committee therefore include:
 - (a) Provision of oversight of the application of the PMDS policies, ensuring that the performance management process, including the setting of performance standards is valid, fair and objective;
 - (b) Detection of potential problems in the PMD system and advising the HOD accordingly;
 - (c) Reviewing overall assessment scores across unit sections/programmes in the department;
 - (d) Recommending reward levels and remedial action for different types of performance outcomes; and
 - (e) Making recommendations regarding actions to be considered where managers and supervisors do not properly and fairly execute their responsibilities with regards to contracting, provision of performance feedback, mid-term reviews, annual assessment and rating in terms of the PMDS.

9.5 Disagreements over rating and assessment

9.5.1. If a dispute arises as contemplated in regulation 72(4) of the PSR 2016, the EA or delegated official shall appoint a mediator, who shall be an employee, to consider the dispute within one (1) month after the expiry of the due date for signing of the PA or an agreement of a similar nature.

- 9.5.2. Persons appointed to resolve disputes should preferably be chosen on the basis of their functional expertise and people skills and not necessarily a legal qualification since performance disagreements should preferably be a consensus driven process resolved through dialogue.
- 9.5.3. The PMDS mediation process shall not exceed a period of one month (30 calendar days).

 If the mediation process fails, an employee may consider a formal grievance in terms of the Public Service Grievance Procedure.
- 9.5.4. Agreement between an employee and her/his supervisor, and/or with the Moderating Committee, on an issue such as rating, is not always guaranteed. If the requirements of the system are met for regular consultation and discussion between the supervisor and the employee, there should normally be little cause for continued disagreement.
- 9.5.5. However, disagreement may occur,
 - (a) between the employee and her/his supervisor;
 - (b) between an employee and her/his supervisor on the one hand, and the Branch Moderating Committee;
 - (c) between the Branch Moderating Committee and the Departmental Moderating Committee; and even between the Departmental Moderating Committee and the Accounting Officer.
- 9.5.6. If there are *fundamental disagreements* between the Branch Moderating Committee and the Departmental Moderating Committee, or if the Departmental Moderating Committee wishes to amend rating submitted by the Branch Moderating Committee, or if the Accounting Officer does not wish to approve recommendations of the Departmental Moderating Committee, such issues should be resolved at management level after consultation with relevant managers. If this process results in changes to individual assessment scores, and employees refuse to accept the changes, employees may follow the formal grievance rules of the Public Service.

10. OUTCOMES OF PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

10.1 Probation

10.1.1 The performance of employees on probation is managed in terms of the following PMDS process:

- a) The PMDS as a tool will be used to assess an employee during the period of his/her probation.
- b) The performance assessment of employees on probation must be conducted in writing on a quarterly basis and must be linked with the PMDS.
- c) The performance assessment form must be submitted to the Human Resource unit immediately following the assessment.
- d) At the expiry of the probationary period, the supervisor of the probationer must make a recommendation on whether or not appointment should be confirmed. If the probationer is not deemed suitable for the relevant post, other options such as the extension of probation, formal registration on the incapacity program or as a last resort, dismissal, should be considered.
- An employee's probationary period is linked to the appointment / transfer/ promotions date and will therefore not necessarily coincide with the 1 April to 31 March cycle. However the PMDS rating tool must be used for assessment and the results captured in the quarterly assessment form.

10.2 Managing Performance that is not fully effective

- Supervisors are required to first identify and then, in line with a developmental approach, deal with unacceptable performance of employees under their supervision. The supervisor must comply with procedural requirements of PSCBC Resolution 10 of 1999 and PSCBC Resolution 1 of 2003 "Incapacity Code". The purpose of this Code is, among other things, to assist the supervisor and employee to:
 - a) Share a common understanding of unsatisfactory performance and incapacity
 - b) Avert and overcome unsatisfactory performance, and
 - c) Provide reasonable assistance to employees who are incapable of performing in accordance with the needs of their jobs.
 - 10.2.2 If a supervisor is of the view that an employee is not performing in accordance with the requirements of the job, the supervisor must meet with the employee and:
 - a) Explain the requirements, grade, skills and nature of the job
 - b) Evaluate the employee's performance in relation to the requirements of the job
 - c) Provide written reasons for the perceived poor performance; and provide an opportunity to the employee to motivate whether he/she has performed in accordance with the requirements of the job, and what the reasons may be.

- The PMDS provides for the early identification and resolution of unacceptable performance. Interventions by the supervisor to overcome performance shortfalls on the part of the employee can include any or all of the following:
 - a) Personal counseling
 - b) On-the-job mentoring and coaching
 - c) Formal training/re-training
 - d) Restating the work plan performance requirements
 - e) Work environment audits to establish other factors affecting performance
- Should the employee not respond to reasonable and continuous attempts to improve performance and an overall performance assessment score of less than 100% (unacceptable and not fully effective performance) is consistently the result of the assessment process, further steps against the employee should be considered in terms of the Incapacity Code and the employee must be informed of this in writing.

10.3 Rewarding of Individual Performance

EAs must determine an appropriate reward scale in the establishment of performance incentive schemes to reward employees or any category of employees as contemplated in Regulation 73 (1) of the Public Service Regulations 2016.

10.3.1 Pay Progression

- 10.3.1.1 Employees are eligible for pay progression up to the maximum notch of the salary level attached to their posts. Progression to the next higher notch within the employee's salary level shall be based on a period of continuous service and performance.
- An employee must complete a continuous period of at least 12 months on her or his notch (1 April to 31 March) and must be performing at least at the level of fully effective, as assessed in terms of the PMDS. The pay progression cycle (and the assessment cycle) runs over a continuous period of 12 months, commencing on 1 April of a particular year. The date on which pay progression is effected for each year is **01 July for salary levels 1-12.**
- 10.3.1.3 With effect from 1 July 2012, the qualifying period for pay progression for **First (1st) time** participants is twenty-four (24) months. The pay progression cycle for employees other than 1st time participants (i.e. employees who were in service before 1 July 2012) is not amended and remains twelve (12) months.

The pay progression cycle for first time participants does not affect employees' probation periods.

10.3.1.4 Only valid notches on the salary level must be used in the process of progression.

Employees on personal notches (therefore on a notch above the maximum of the salary level attached to his or her post), shall not qualify for pay progression, but shall receive any annual salary adjustments on the salary scale. The employees may also qualify for the performance bonus. The pay progression system does not stop the Executing Authority to award a higher salary to employees in terms of Regulation 44 of the PSR 2016.

Therefore -

- a) Employees, who are awarded a higher salary level by the Executive Authority or delegated authority, that does not correlate to the job weight attached to their job, shall not qualify for pay progression on the higher salary level, and
- b) Employees, who are awarded a higher notch within the salary level, which correlates to the job weight attached to their job, shall qualify for pay progression, provided they comply with the set criteria.
- 10.3.1.5 Employees who receive pay progression for a financial year will receive this in addition to the annual cost-of-living adjustment, and may also qualify for a performance bonus during the same financial year.

10.3.2 Performance Bonus

- 10.3.2.1 The Department should not spend more than 1.5% of its annual remuneration budget for employees on performance incentives.
- Should the 1.5% of the Department's remuneration budget prove to be insufficient to award the maximum percentage cash bonuses, the Departmental Moderating Committee may scale down the applicable percentages by allocating a lower percentage to qualifying employees to ensure that the Department stays within the 1.5% limit. The percentage recommended upon by the Committee should be applicable to all employees in consultation with Labour.
- In order to qualify for the granting of a bonus, an employee must complete a continuous period of at least twelve months on her/his salary level on 31 March of a year. The cycle for the granting of a bonus runs over a continuous period of 12 months from 1 April of one year to 31 March.

10.3.3 Non-Financial Incentives

- 10.3.3.1 Financial rewards on their own are not always sufficient to motivate staff towards performance excellence. Other ways for recognising performance should also be utilised, i.e. where the award does not directly lead to "money in the pocket".
- The Department may, from time-to-time, at the discretion of the Accounting Officer introduce mechanisms for non-financial recognition to stimulate performance across the Department. However, managers may also propose forms of non-financial recognition, provided these remain non-financial, fit into the budget and do not change any basic condition of employment. Cognisance should be taken that the non-financial rewards are not linked to a financial year. The following are examples of recognition that can be considered:
 - a) Acknowledgement and recognition of performance excellence i.e. in the office publications; specially created awards and certificates; citations at conferences or meetings; attendance at conferences etc.
 - b) Increased autonomy to organize own work and/or increased resources with which to perform work.
 - c) Public awards of various kinds made by management in recognition of a specific achievement or innovation or for consistent achievement over a specific period
 - d) Specific access to specialised training and development opportunities.

10.4 Suggestions, Improvements and Innovations

f an employee makes a suggestion, improvement or invention of exceptional value to the Department or the Public Service as a whole:

- (a) The State has the right to use any such suggestion, improvement or invention, and
- (b) The Accounting Officer may reward the employee through any non-financial reward or a non- pensionable cash award not exceeding 20% of the employee's pensionable annual salary or, with the Accounting Officer's approval, a nonpensionable cash award in excess of 20% of the employee's annual salary, or such a non-financial reward as well as such a cash award.

11. APPROVAL AND REVISION

INCEPTION DATE 11.1.

The inception date for this policy will be 1 April 2018 after the approval by the Executive Authority.

11.2 DEFAULT

Any deviations from this policy or decisions on matters not covered herein must receive the prior approval in writing from the Executive Authority.

12 TERMINATION AND REVIEW CONDITIONS

- 12.1 This Policy is subject to review when required due to changes in legislation and regulations or when deemed necessary to ensure that it is aligned to prevailing resolutions and regulations.
- 12.2 No amendments may be made to any section of this Policy without such amendments first being discussed in the Coordinating Chamber of PSCBC in Limpopo Province (CCPLP) and approved and signed by the Executive Authority.

PREMIER

Date: 07 \08 \ 20 (8

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

ANNEXURE A: DEFINITIONS

Assessment instrument: A single performance assessment tool that is used to assess the performance of an individual employee in relation to the achievement of key result areas and core management criteria or generic assessment factors as contained in the work plan of the performance

agreement.

Attribute: An attribute (as part of a competency) is generally defined to consist of motives, traits and

self-concept.

Annual Performance Plan: A one-year plan derived from and giving life to the strategic plan by

translating the strategic objectives identified in the strategic plan into key result areas and activities

with measurable standards, for a particular year for the Department, Branches, Chief Directorates

and Directorates.

Business plans: See operational plan(s)

Competence: Competence relates to an employee's capacity to meet the job requirements (job

competence).

Competency: A competency is a particular mix of knowledge, skills and attributes required to

effectively perform a job/task/role.

Branch: A business unit composed of components.

Branch Assessment Panel: A panel headed by the Branch Head and further composed of all the

Directors and higher ranks in the Branch for the purpose of assessing/moderating each employee's

provisional assessment rating.

Confirmed Assessment Rating (CAR): The assessment score for an employee that has been

confirmed by the Departmental Moderating Committee.

Core Management Criteria (CMC): An element of knowledge, skill, or attribute that is directly

related to effective performance in a job. CMCs apply to members of the SMS and GAFs apply to

employees at salary levels 1-12. CMCs and GAFs are elements and standards used to describe

and assess performance, taking into consideration knowledge, skills and attributes.

Customers: People internal or external to the department with whom employees interact to provide

a service.

29

Development: Training and development activities to enhance the employee's competencies and to improve performance.

Executive Authority: means the executing authority as defined in Section 1(1) of the Public Service Act.

Feedback: Objective and timely information by the Manager/Supervisor on the employee's performance against set expectations and standards, understood by the employee, and aimed at improving performance.

Generic Assessment Factor: An element used to describe and assess aspects of performance, taking into consideration knowledge, skills and attributes (See Annexure A).

HR Unit: Unless otherwise indicated, refer to the Sub-Directorate: Human Resources. The Head of Human Resources is the Director/ Deputy Director of the HR Unit.

Key Result Area (KRA): An area of a job in which performance is critical for making an effective contribution to the achievement of departmental strategies, goals and objectives.

Key Result Areas (KRAs), describe the core functions or broad area of responsibility of an employee. It is broken down into number of outputs and activities (Glossary)

Moderation: The review of employee assessment scores by a committee to ensure consistency and equity across the Department through a common understanding of the performance standard required at each level of the rating scale.

Operational Plan is a highly detailed plan that provides a clear picture of how a Branch, Strategic Bus ness Unit, Section or Department will contribute to the achievement of the organisation's goals. The Operational plan maps out the day to day tasks required to run a Department or a component

Outcome: A broad statement about a specific objective, aim or intent, the achievement of which will require one or more specific outputs to be achieved.

Output: A concrete result or achievement (i.e. a product, action or service) that contributes to the achievement of a key result area.

Performance: Human performance involves (1) people's behaviour/actions and (2) the outcomes/effects of those actions. Performance is a process in which resources are used in an effective, efficient and productive way to produce results that satisfy requirements of time, quality

and quantity, and which are the effect/outcome of the actions/behaviour of a performer in the work process.

Performance agreement: A document agreed upon and signed by an employee and her/his supervisor, which includes a description of the job, selected KRAs and GAFs, a work plan and the employee's personal development plan.

Performance appraisal/assessment: The measurement, assessment, rating or appraisal of employee performance. The formal annual process is usually referred to as performance appraisal or assessment, while more informal processes are referred to as performance review.

Performance Bonus: A performance bonus is a financial award granted to an employee in recognition of sustained performance that is significantly above expectations and is rated as such in terms of the rating scale

Performance cycle: A 12-month period for which performance is planned, managed and assessed. It must be aligned to the same period as the Department's annual business plan i.e. 1st April to 31st March of the following year.

Performance incentives: A set of (a) financial rewards linked to the results of performance appraisal, including pay progression, performance bonus, and (b) a variety of non-financial rewards that may be contained in the departmental performance incentive scheme.

Performance indicator: A measure used to gauge the extent to which an output has been achieved (policy developed, presentation delivered, service rendered).

Performance management: A purposeful, continuous process aimed at positively influencing employee behaviour for the achievement of the Department's strategic goals; the determination of the correct activities as well as the evaluation and recognition of the execution of tasks/duties with the aim of enhancing their efficiency and effectiveness; and a means of improving results from the Department, teams and individuals by understanding and managing performance within an agreed framework of planned goals, objectives, standards and incentives.

Performance management system: An authoritative framework for managing employee performance, which includes the policy framework as well as the framework relating to all aspects and elements in the performance cycle, including performance planning and agreement; performance monitoring, review and control; performance appraisal and moderating; and managing the outcomes of appraisal.

Performance standard: Mutually agreed criteria to describe work in terms of time-lines, cost and quantity and/or quality to clarify the outputs and related activities of a job by describing what the required result should be. In this framework, performance standards are divided into indicators and the time factor.

Performance review: A structured and formal, at least half-yearly, discussion between supervisor and employee to monitor progress, resolve problems and adjust work plans during the performance cycle, thereby providing an opportunity for improvement before the annual review takes place. If the employee's performance is not fully effective or unsatisfactory, the half-yearly review must be in writing.

Personal development plan (PDP): A requirement of the performance agreement whereby the important competency and other developmental needs of the employee are documented, together with the means by which these needs are to be satisfied and which include time lines and accountabilities.

Provisional assessment rating (PAR): An employee's total assessment rating score that has been agreed upon between the employee and her/his supervisor.

Rating: The allocation of a score to a KRA, a GAF and/or to overall performance in accordance with the five- point rating scale of the PMDS.

Remuneration Budget: This means all personnel expenditure, therefore includes salaries (basic and total packages), employers contribution to GEPF, Medical Aid contributions, Service Bonuses, home owners allowances as well as other allowances for which employees may qualify (e.g. danger allowance, allowances payable to employees serving Executive Authorities) in other words the total remuneration budget for the year.

Senior Management Service (SMS): Employees on salary levels 13 to 16, including managers and professionals.

Strategic plan: The end product of strategic planning, setting out the mission and vision statements and the medium and long-term strategic objectives of the Department. The contents of the strategic plan must be in compliance with the requirements of Chapter 5 of the Treasury Regulations, 2001, and Chapter 1 Part III.B of the Public Service Regulations, 2001.

Strategic planning: This is the process by which top management determines the overall strategic direction and priorities, as well as the organizational purpose and objectives and how they are to be achieved.

Supervisor: An employee responsible for the allocation of work, monitoring of activities, discussing performance and development, and the half-yearly performance review and annual performance rating of another employee.

Wage Bill: for purposes of pay progression, consists of the combined total of the employees' salary notches, employers contribution to the GEPF and service bonuses (for total cost to employer package employees this refers to the total package)

Work plan: A document which is part of the performance agreement and which contains key result areas, associated outputs/activities and their performance standards and resource requirements.

Weight: With reference to the inclusion and assessment of KRAs and GAFs in the performance agreement/work plan, each of the KRAs and GAFs is allocated a weight or percentage, which indicates the relative importance or impact of the specific KRA or GAF in comparison to the others selected in the performance agreement, and the combined weights should add up to 100%.

ANNEXURE A

Generic Assessment Factor: An element used to describe and assess aspects of performance, taking into consideration knowledge, skills and attributes.

- GAFs apply to all employees on salary level 1-12.
- GAFs are elements and standards used to describe and assess performance, taking
 into consideration knowledge, skills and attributes and the Management expectation
 from the employee in respect of conduct and behavior.

The GAFs are deemed to be most important for effective performance in a particular job as outlined in the Public Service Charter.

- Job knowledge /Job specific skills
- Technical Skills
- · Acceptance of responsibility and accountability
- Quality of Work
- Reliability, honesty and integrity
- Initiative and Innovative
- Communication
- Interpersonal Relationships
- Flexibility
- Team work
- Planning and Execution
- Leadership
- Delegation and Empowerment
- Management of financial Resources
- Management of Human Resources
- Punctuality
- Professionalism
- Confidentiality
- Dress Code
- Friendliness
- Batho Pele Principles
- Impartiality
- Respect for Others (Clients & Co-workers)
- Loyalty
- · Responsibility for self-development