CONFIDENTIAL



DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

Ref: 2/7/5/1

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY

PROPERTY AND FACILITY MANAGEMENT

MOPANI DISTRICT

TABLE OF CONTENT

NO.	CONTENT	PAGE
1.	Abstract	3
2	Introduction	3
3.	Method	
3.1	Design	3
3.2	Participants	4
3.3	Resources Utilize	4
3.4	Procedure followed	4
4	Survey Results	5
5	Discussions	21
6.	Limitations	22
7.	Recommendations	22
8.	Approval	22
9	Annexure A	23

1. ABSTRACT

The Monitoring and Evaluation Unit conducted customer survey targeting tenants staying in State owned houses/flats. The objective of the survey was to ascertain if the Properties and Facilities Management Directorate provides efficient and effective services to external clients. The data gathered will be utilized to identify weaknesses, strengths and come up with remedial action for better service delivery.

The following limitations were identified:

- Most respondents at road camps found it difficult to interpret the questions due to English not being their mother tongue. Officials from M & E had to use local vernacular to interpret item questions in the questionnaire.
- The digital random calculator does not differentiate between genders.

2. INTRODUCTION

Monitoring and Evaluation Directorate is mandated to conduct customer surveys on bi-quarterly basis annually to comply with the provincial transversal standards. Customer survey is incorporated in the Annual Performance Plan as a key result area. The financial year under review is 2011/12. The scope of the survey is Property and Facility Management Directorate within the Limpopo Department of Public Works. The objective of the survey was to ascertain if Property and Facility Management Directorate provides efficient and effective services to external clients . The Customer Survey was conducted at Mopani District by Monitoring & Evaluation Team from the 23 to 25 August 2011.

3. METHOD

3.1 Design

The quantitative research methodology was used. The survey questionnaire was drafted and compiled by Monitoring and Evaluation Unit officials. The questionnaire was implemented at Capricorn, Waterberg, Sekhukhune, Mopani and Vhembe district and a report for each selective entity were produced.

The survey consisted of a questionnaire of six (6) sections A to F, section A to F deal with the eight Batho Pele principles on tenant's experiences with Property and Facility Management Directorate.

The sampling technique used for this survey was a combination of stratified and random sampling techniques. The target population was taken from the Departmental House Register. The list was numbered starting from one for each stratum. The specific category of the tenants was excluded from the survey namely: Tenants working for the Department of Public Works. Ten percent of the target population was then drawn to be included in the sample. A three-digit random sampling electronic calculator from Star Trek was use to draw numbers randomly.

3.2 Participants

The participants in the survey were tenants from all five districts in the province. i.e. Vhembe, Mopani, Sekhukhune and Capricorn Districts.

District	Scheduled Dates	Dates used to Conduct Survey
Mopani District	23 – 25 August 2011	23- 25 August 2011
Waterberg District	29 – 31 August 2011	29 – 31 August 2011
Capricorn District	06 – 08 September 2011	06 – 08 September 2011
Vhembe District	13 – 15 September 2011	13 & 27 – 28 September 2011
Sekhukhune District	20 – 23 September 2011	20 – 23 September 2011

3.3 Resources Utilized

- Stationery was used to design the questionnaire
- Photocopy machines
- Selected Tenants
- Vehicles

3.4 **Procedure followed**

Monitoring and Evaluation drafted a schedule to conduct the survey. A short presentation on the questionnaire was done to participants. The participants were given an opportunity to complete the questionnaire willingly in the presence of Monitoring and Evaluation officials as it was to be handled as confidential. The completed forms were collected on the day of the scheduled visit.

The visiting schedule was as follows:

District	Scheduled Dates
Gravellote Road Camp	23 August 2011
Namakgale	
Lulekani	
Phalaborwa	
Ben Mare Road Camp	
Hoedspruit	
Enable	
Sophai	
Kremetart	24 August 2011
Giyani	
Sekgoses	
Rietbok	25 August 2011
De willows	

Dist	rict	Scheduled Dates
•	Metz Village	
•	Tsonga Kraal	
•	Letsitele	
•	Nkowankowa	
4		


4. SURVEY RESULTS

SECTION A

A1 Can you rate the level of satisfaction in relation to how your application for accommodation was handled?

Table A1	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
Score	3	3	4	20	8
Percentage	8%	8%	10%	53%	21%






74% of participants responded positively, while 10% was neutral and 16% negatively to the statement made.

A2 Can you rate the level of satisfaction at which the department was responsive to your request/ application?

Table A2	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
Score	2	4	6	19	7
Percentage	5%	11%	16%	50%	18%





68% of participants responded positively, while 16% was neutral and 16% negatively to the statement made.

A3 How long did it take the department to finalize your request?

Table A3	0 - 30 days	30 – 60 days	60 – 90 days	90 – 120 days	Longer than 120 days
Score	21	7	1	2	7
Percentage	56%	18%	3%	5%	18%




Chart:A.3

74% respondents indicated that their request took lesser time to finalize, while 3% indicated that the department took between 60 - 90 days to finalize their request, 5% indicated that the department took 90 - 120 days to finalize their request and 18% indicated that the department took more than 120 days to finalize their request.

A4	How long have you been staying I this flat/house?
----	---

Table A4	0-5 years	6 to	o 10	11	to	15	16	to	20	Above	20
		years		yea	ars		yea	ars		years	
Score	14	13		7			3			1	
Percentage	37%	34%		18%	6		8%)		3%	





Chart: A.4

37% of respondents indicated that they have been staying in these houses between 0 to 5 years, 34% of respondents indicated that they have been staying in these houses between 6 and 10 years, 18% of respondents indicated that they have been staying in these houses between 11 and 15 years, 8% or respondents indicated that they have been staying in these houses between 16 and 20 years while 3% or respondents indicated that they have been staying in these houses for more than 20 years.

A5 Please rate the level of satisfaction with regard to general conditions of your flat/house.

Table A5	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Score	11	14	7	5	1
Percentage	29%	37%	18%	13%	3%






16% of participants responded positively, while 18% was neutral and 66% negatively to the statement made.

A6 Which method of payment do you use to pay your rent?

Table A6	Directly from salary	Cash	Cash Deposit	Internet Transfer
Score	28	7	1	2
Percentage	74%	18%	3%	5%




74% respondents indicated that payment for the houses is directly withdrawn from their salary, while 18% of respondents indicated that they make cash payments for their houses. 3% indicated that they make use of cash deposit and another 5% indicated that they make use of internet transfer.



A7 Is this method of payment convenient to you?

Table A7	Yes	No
Score	35	3
Percentage	92%	8%





92% of respondents indicated that the method of payment they are using is convenient for them, while 8% of respondents indicated that the method of payment they are using is not convenient for them.

A8 If no, which method would be convenient to you?

Table A7	Directly from Salary	Debit Order
Score	2	1
Percentage	67%	33%





Chart: A.8

33% of respondents indicated that they would prefer debit orders or stop orders as method of payment and 67% indicated that they would prefer direct or hand payments.

A9 Did you ever reported, defect in your flat/house to the department.

Table A9	Yes	No
Score	34	4
Percentage	89%	1%





89% of respondents indicated they do report defects in their flats or houses while11% of respondents' indicate3d that they have never report4ed defects in their flats or houses.

A10 If yes rate the level of satisfaction with regard to services rendered?

Table A10	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
Score	13	13	7	4	1
Percentage	34%	34%	18%	11%	3%




Chart: A.10


14% of respondents indicated they are satisfied with services rendered by the department, 18% of respondents were neutral while 68% of respondents indicated negative.

SECTION B

B OPENNESS AND TRANSPERANCY

B1 Can you rate the level at which the department provide you with information regarding your flat/house.

Table B1	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
Score	11	11	6	8	2
Percentage	29%	29%	16%	21%	5%






26% participants responded positively, while 16% was neutral and 58% responded negatively to the statement made.

B2 Can you rate the level at which the department senior management in Property Management are accessible to you.

Table B2	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
Score	10	8	11	7	2
Percentage	27%	21%	29%	18%	5%





23% of participants responded positively, while 29% was neutral and 48% responded negatively to the statement made.


SECTION C

C COURTESY

C1 Indicate the level of courtesy you receive when calling the department.

Table C1	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Score	3	2	11	16	6
Percentage	8%	5%	29%	42%	16%






58% of participants responded positively, while 29% is average and 13% of responded negatively to the statement made.

C.2 Indicate the level of courtesy you receive from officials from Property Management.

Table C.2	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Score	4	4	9	15	6
Percentage	11%	11%	23%	39%	16%





55% participants responded positively, while 23% was average and 22% of responded negatively to the statement made.


SECTION D

D INFORMATION

D.1 Please rate the level at which the department keeps you informed with issues relating to your flat/house.

Table D.1	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Score	7	9	10	11	1
Percentage	18%	24%	26%	29%	3%






32% of participants responded positively, while 26% of respondents were neutral and 42% responded negatively to the statement made.

D.2 Please rate the level at which the department provides you with information as to whom and how to contact the department should you experience problems.

Table D.2	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Score	8	11	7	11	1
Percentage	21%	29%	18%	29%	3%





32% of participants responded positively, while 18% was neutral and 50% responded negatively to the statement made.

D.3 Please rate the level at which the department is informing you about services covered by the contract

Table D.3	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Score	10	10	11	6	1
Percentage	26%	26%	29%	16%	3%





Chart: D.3

19% of participants responded positively, while 29% was neutral and 52% responded negatively to the statement made.

D.4 Is the department informing your organization of where to obtain services.

Table D.4	Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Score	6	11	5	14	2
Percentage	16%	29%	13%	37%	5%





42% of participants responded positively, while 13% was neutral and 45% responded negatively to the statement made.


SECTION E

E Consultation

E.1. Does the department consult you in case there are changes in your monthly rate?

Table E.1	Yes	No
Score	26	12
Percentage	68%	32%






68% participants responded positively, while 32% responded negatively to the statement made.

E.2. If yes, how long before changes are effected.

Table E.2	0 to 30 days	30 – 60 days	60 to 90 days	90 to 120 days	Longer than 120 days	Not informed
Score	11	5	5	4	1	0
Percentage	42%	19%	19%	16%	4%	0%





80% of participants indicated that they are informed between first months and the third month 16% indicated that they were informed four months before changes, while 4% indicate that they are informed four months before changes were implemented.


SECTION F

F SERVICE STANDARDS

F.1. Are you provided with departmental service standards?

Table F.1	Yes	No
Score	2	36
Percentage	5%	95%






5% of participants indicated that they were provided with the departmental service standards, while 95% indicated that they were not provided with the departmental service standards.

F.2 If yes, were they explained to you?

Table F.2	Yes	No
Score	4	34
Percentage	11%	89%






11% of participants indicated that service standards were explained to them, while 89% indicated that service standards were not explained to them.

F.3 Did you sign the contract with the department?

Table F.3	Yes	No
Score	37	1
Percentage	97%	3%






97% of participants indicated that they did sign the contract with the department while 3% indicated that they did not sign the contract.

F.4 Do you understand the content of the contract?
--

Table E.2	Yes	No
Score	32	6
Percentage	84%	16%





84% of participants indicated that they do understand the content of the contract, while 16% do not understand the content of the contract.

Narrative remarks by clients

- Most tenants indicated that they want to buy these houses.
- Most of these houses do not have running water.
- More should be done to maintain these houses as they are not properly maintained.
- Reported defects are not taken into consideration.
- Tenants indicated that they would like of the department do annual inspections of these houses.
- No proper consultation between the tenants and the department.

5. DISCUSSIONS

Section A:

Service standards implementation is adhered to, from the data one may assume that tenants in Mopani are satisfied the level of service they receive from the department. Contrary to this most tenants indicated that they are not satisfied with general conditions of these houses/ flats.

Section B: Openness and Transparency

Openness and transparency by Property and Facility Management is not practiced at all. The rating indicates that there is not enough openness and transparency between Property and Facility Management Directorate as most tenants responded negatively to the questions.

Section C: Courtesy

"The courtesy principle goes beyond a polite smile, 'please' and 'thank you'. It requires service providers to empathize with the citizens and treat them with as much consideration and respect, as they would like for themselves" (Batho Pele Principles DPSA). The figure indicates the courtesy principle is at fifty percentage mark. The department should strive to do even better than fifty percent.

Section D: Information

From the date one may assume that not enough information is given to tenant regarding the houses/flats that tenants occupy as many do not know where to obtain services and the rating is below fifty percent.

Section E: Consultation

The ratings indicate that there is enough consultation between Property and Facility Management with regard to changes that affect tenants' monthly payments, as rating in two questions asked the rating are above fifty percent.

Section F: Service Standards

Service Standards implementation with regards to ensuring that tenants' are provided with the departmental service standard is not adhered too, as only 5% of tenants' indicated that they were provided with the departmental service standards. With regard to signing a contract or lease agreements 97% indicated that they did sign the contract and do understand the content of the contract.

6. LIMITATIONS

- Most respondents at road camps found it difficult to interpret the questions due to English not being their mother tongue. Officials from M & E then had to use local vernacular to interpret item questions in the questionnaire.
- The digital random calculator does not differentiate between genders.

7. Recommendations

It is recommended that Real Estate

- Should do more to improve courtesy, apply openness and transparency principles in providing services, that is, with respect, consideration and responsiveness to their needs.
- Embrace the 8 Batho Pele Principles and the believe sets in executing their services.
- Attend Change Management Engagement Workshop and other workshops relevant to their scope of work.
- Give full, accurate and up-to-date information regarding lease agreements.
- Always offer apology where they failed to meet clients' expectations.
- Improve the level of consultation.

8. APPROVAL

- 8.1 That the acting HoD approves the findings of the external customer survey for Property and Facility Management for Mopani District.
- 8.2 That the findings be published on the departmental intranet.

Approved/ Not Approved

HEAD OF DEPARTMENT

.....

DATE

CONFIDENTIAL

Annexure

ANNEXURE A

Questionnaire

Can you rate the level of satisfaction in relation to how your application was handled?

Very	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
dissatisfied				

Can you rate the level of satisfaction at which the department was responsive to your request/application?

Very	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
dissatisfied				

How long did it take the department to finalize your request?

0 – 30 days	30 –	60	60 – 90 days	90	_	120	Longer	than
	days			days	5		120 days	

How long have you been staying in this flat/house?

Days/Months/ Years

Please rate the level of satisfaction with regard to general conditions of your flat/house

Very poor	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent

Which Method of payment do you use to pay your rent?

Directly	from	Cash	Cash deposit	Internet
Salary				Transfer

Is this method of payment convenient to you?

Yes	No	
If NO, answer the bel	ow question be convenient to you?	
Did you ever reported	d defects in your flat/ho	use to the department?
Yes	No	

If yes, please rate the level of satisfaction with regard to services rendered?

Very	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
dissatisfied				

OPENNES AND TRANSPERANCY

Can you rate the level at which the department provide you with information regarding your flat/house.

Very	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
dissatisfied				

Can you rate level at which the department senior management in Property Management are accessible to you.

Very	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
dissatisfied				

COURTESY Use the rating scale 1 to 5

1= very poor, 2= poor, 3= average, 4= good, 5 = excellent

Indicate the level of courtesy you receive when calling the department?	1	2	3	4	5
Indicate the level of courtesy you receive from official Property Management	1	2	3	4	5

INFORMATION Use the rating scale 1 to 5

1= very poor, 2= poor, 3= average, 4= good, 5 = excellent

Please rate the level at which the department keeps you informed with issues relating to your flat/house?	1	2	3	4	5
Please rate the level at which the department provides you with information as to whom and how to contact the department should you experience problems?	1	2	3	4	5
Please rate the level at which the department is informing you about services covered by the contract.					

Is the department	informing	your	organization	of	where	to			
obtain services									

CONSULTATION

Does the department consult you in case there are changes in your monthly rates?

Yes No

If yes, how long before changes are effected?

0 – 30 days	30 – 60 days	60 – 90 days	90	_	120	Longer	than
			days	5		120 days	5

SERVICE STANDARDS

Are you provided with departmental service standards? Yes No

If yes, were they explained to you?YesNo

Did you sign the contract with the department?YesNo

Do you understand the content of the contract?YesNo

If no, do you know the reason why you did not sign the contract? Explain

GENERAL REMARKS